## WF4313/6413-Fisheries Management

## <u>Class 2</u>0

## Announcements

#### Revised Schedule\*\*

- October 30 = Group 1 @ Panther Creek
- November 6<sup>th</sup> = Group 2 we'll do something
- November 13<sup>th</sup> = NO LAB... ⊕
- Exam II = November 14<sup>th</sup>
- November 20<sup>th</sup> = PIT Tag Telemetry
- November 20<sup>th</sup> by 5pm article to brief
- November 27<sup>th</sup> & December 4<sup>th</sup> ???

**\*\*** Contingent on van availability



## Lab 11/20 PIT Tag telemetry

#### Bring Waders Group 2

### Briefs are important!

## WHERE WE LEFT OFF

#### Stream habitat types

- Water
- Spawning
- Rearing & foraging
- Growing
- Migratory
- Cover

#### Lentic habitat: major types

- 1. Glacial lake
- 2. Oxbow lakes
- 3. Reservoirs
- 4. Circque lakes
- 5. Terminal lakes
- 6. Sinkhole lakes





#### Reservoir habitat



#### **MDWFP State lakes**



Only lakes  $\geq$  4 ha (2.5 acres) accessible to the public and monitored by MDWFP are shown.

#### Elements of a FMP

- Introduction
- Goals and objectives
- Actions
- Monitoring results
  - Fish: electrofishing, trap netting
  - Fishery: creel
  - Habitat and facilities
- Discussion of monitoring

#### Forming Objectives...

- What are some objectives for LMB angler satisfaction?
- What are some actions to achieve those objectives?
- What are the expected outcomes of those actions?
- How can you monitor the outcomes of the actions?



#### INVASIVE & INTRODUCED SPECIES MANAGEMENT

#### Early fish introductions

- 1800-1950
- Revitalize commercial fishing post civil war by importing European sportfishes
  - Common carp
  - Brown trout
- US Fish Commission formed to explore introductions



Note: Time series reflects NAS data and may not accurately reflect actual species spread.



#### Second wave fish introductions

- 1950-1975
- advent of intercontinental jet cargo aircraft in the early
- live fish could be rapidly transported from one continent to another
- Ornamental fish
- Ramsey (1985) estimated over 100 million fish were imported by air annually during the early 1980s

#### Third wave <u>aquatic spp.</u> introductions

- 1975 to present day
- 3 species of Asian carps were imported into North America
  - biological control of nuisance phytoplankton in sewage treatment ponds
  - enhancement of water quality in aquaculture ponds
  - potential as food fishes

#### Third wave <u>aquatic spp.</u> introductions

- Zebra and quagga mussels
- Controlling invasive mussels cost electric power generating facilities on the Great Lakes alone an estimated US\$10–30 million annually between 1989 and 2004!





#### **Applied Management**



#### Why is carp management important?



#### Management Objectives

- Refuge: Duck Use Days
- Carp have a negative effect on water quality and macrophytes
- Ducks do not use poor areas if they don't have to
- Don't meet management objectives...

#### Carp Suppression at Malheur NWR

Home Background Explore Model Simulate Evaluation of potential carp suppression strategies



Malheur National Wildlife Refuge was established on August 18, 1908 by President Theodore Roosevelt as the Lake Malheur Bird Reservation. Roosevelt set aside unclaimed lands encompassed by Malheur, Mud and Harney Lakes "as a preserve and breeding ground for native birds." The newly established "Lake Malheur Bird Reservation" was the 19th of 51 wildlife refuges created by Roosevelt during his tenure as president. At the time, Malheur was the third refuge in Oregon and one of only six refuges west of the Mississippi.

Background and Context



#### Vectors of introduction

- Ballast water
- Aquaculture industry & live food fish industry
- Stocking by government agencies
- Water garden and aquarium pets
- Unauthorized stocking (Bait bucket releases)
- Recreational activities
- Research & teaching activities
- Diffusion from neighboring waters

#### Ballast water

#### THE BALLAST WATER CYCLE

#### HOW INVASIVE SPECIES ARE INTRODUCED INTO THE GREAT LAKES

Ballast water is required to stabilize an empty ship on the open sea, but those tanks can hold more than water; they often also carry foreign species. The U.S. now requires oceangoing vessels bound for the Great Lakes to exchange their ballast at sea to expel – or kill with saltwater – any freshwater organisms that might have hitched a ride. But most ships that arrive in the lakes are loaded with cargo, don't carry ballast and are therefore exempt from the law. Even "empty" tanks can carry residual puddles and tons of muck, both of which can be teeming with life.



Source: International Maritime Organization

ALFRED ELICIERTO/aelicierto@journalsentinel.com

# Aquaculture industry & live food fish industry



#### Stocking by government agencies





#### Water garden and aquarium pets

**Speaking of Science** 

#### Discarded pet goldfish are multiplying and getting kind of ginormous, officials say





# Unauthorized stocking (Bait bucket releases)



#### **Research & teaching activities**

# Science De DECUSSOR DE CONSULTANT DE DECUSSOR DE DECUS DE DE

Updated 8/7/2012 5:33:00 PM ET

Print Font: AA + -

Potential invasive species may get a helping hand from an unlikely source: science teachers, a new study indicates.

The researchers found science teachers used as many as 1,000 different organisms in their lessons, including many known or potentially invasive species such as crayfish, the waterweed elodea, mosquito fish, amphibians and redeared slider turtles.

The study involved a survey of nearly 2,000 teachers in Florida, New York, Indiana, Illinois, Oregon, Washington, California, Connecticut, British Columbia and Ontario, as well as interviews with curriculum specialists, focus groups involving 84 teachers and information from biological supply houses.

The researchers found that while 25 percent of <u>science</u> teachers indicated they released their organisms into the



#### Diffusion from neighboring waters





#### **Prevent-Example**

- Baitfish must be from watershed to be used
- No transport across state lines







#### **Prevent-Example**

• Eurasian water milfoil



#### Eradicate

- Rarely effective
- Limited to small, easily-accessible, closed systems

Eradication is best attempted almost immediately upon discovery of the new invader population (Simberloff 2009)

#### Successful Eradication Elements

Simberloff 2009:

- 1. early detection of an invasion and quick action to eradicate invader
- 2. sufficient resources allocated to the project from start to finish (including posteradication surveys and follow-up, if necessary)
- 3. a person or agency with the authority to enforce cooperation
- 4. sufficient study of the targeted species to suggest vulnerabilities (often basic natural history suffices);
- 5. optimistic, persistent, and resilient project leaders.

#### Management case study

North American Journal of Fisheries Management 26:849–860, 2006 © Copyright by the American Fisheries Society 2006 DOI: 10.1577/M05-110.1 [Article]

#### Evaluation of an Unsuccessful Brook Trout Electrofishing Removal Project in a Small Rocky Mountain Stream

KEVIN A. MEYER,\* JAMES A. LAMANSKY, JR., AND DANIEL J. SCHILL

Idaho Department of Fish and Game, 1414 East Locust Lane, Nampa, Idaho 83686, USA

*Abstract.*—In the western United States, exotic brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* frequently have a deleterious effect on native salmonids, and biologists often attempt to remove brook trout from streams by means of electrofishing. Although the success of such projects typically is low, few studies have assessed the underlying mechanisms of failure, especially in terms of compensatory responses. A multiagency watershed advisory group (WAG) conducted a 3-year removal project to reduce brook trout and enhance native salmonids in 7.8 km of a southwestern Idaho stream. We evaluated the costs and success of their project in suppressing brook trout and looked for brook trout compensatory responses, such as decreased natural mortality, increased growth, increased fecundity at length, and earlier maturation. The total number of brook trout removed was 1,401 in 1998, 1,241 in 1999, and 890 in 2000; removal constituted an estimated 88% of the total number of brook trout in the stream in 1999 and 79% in 2000. Although abundance of age-1 and older brook trout declined slightly during and after the removals, abundance of age-0 brook trout increased

#### **Brook Trout Eradication**



#### Distribution



### Approach

- 2-pass depletion
- Physically remove brook trout captured
- 1998-2002
- Very intensive



#### Expected effects

What would we expect for a massive removal of the population?

Abundance?

Size structure?

Age structure?

Others?

#### Age Structure



Age

#### Age Structure



# Effect of removal?



FIGURE 3.—Mean (±95% CI) length at age and length increment for nonnative brook trout removed by electrofishing in Pike's Fork, Idaho, during 1998–2000 and 2003.

#### Age Structure



Age

#### **Cumulative length distribution**



# Effect of removal



FIGURE 4.—Cumulative length frequencies of nonnative brook trout and native redband trout in Pike's Fork, Idaho, during 1998–2003. Electrofishing removal of brook trout was conducted in all years except 2001 and 2002.

#### Effect

- Lengthweight?
- Fecundity?





Fish length (mm)

150

200

250

856

0

50

100

#### Take home message

- Eradication is rarely achieved, even with extraordinary efforts!
- Mental model versus real model
  - No conceptual or physical model
  - No management alternatives
  - Unintended consequences: compensation
- Control more likely than eradication



#### Control

 Reduce population to level that minimizes impact



Time

#### Methods of Eradication & Control

- Chemicals
  - Rotenone, Lampricide
- Physical
  - Traps, nets, explosives, water level, electrofishing, commercial fishing
- Biological
  - Predator & prey, pathogens, daughterless technologies, pheromones